

# Conservation **Security Program** (CSP)



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service 60 Quaker Lane, Suite 46 Warwick, Rhode Island 02886

> Telephone: 401.828.1300 Fax: 401.828.0433

## **Rhode Island CSP Water Quality** Ch

If you curre

#### Nut

ecl	klist for Cropland
	wer " <b>NO</b> " to any question (and are not told to proceed or skip to a future question), you do not meet the water quality requirements to enter into CSP in Rhode Island.
rier	nts
1.	Do you have soil test results for at least one year out of the last three cropping years (2002, 2003, 2004)?
	☐Yes ☐No Copies of soil test results must be available for review and verification by NRCS prior to ac-
	ceptance into CSP
2.	Do you have records of your fertilizer applications (including compost and manure) two out of the last three years?
	□Yes □No
	Records must be available for review and verification by NRCS prior to acceptance into CSP.
3.	Have you applied fertilizer, manure and/or compost according to soil test recommendations and/or a nutrient management plan?
	□Yes □No
4.	Do you apply nutrients (fertilizer, compost and/or manure) to any fields with highly leachable soils (see attachment)?
	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No If yes, go to next question. If no, skip to question #6.
5.	If you irrigate the fields with highly leachable soils, do you follow an Irrigation Water Management plan where you control the amount and timing of your irrigation activities based on rainfall and/or soil moisture levels?
	□Yes □No
	If applicable, irrigation records must be available for review and verification by NRCS prior to acceptance into CSP.
6.	Do you have at least a 35' wide vegetated buffer between your cropland fields where phosphorus based fertilizer or manure are applied and any streams?
	□Yes □No
	Aerial photo interpretation will be used during your CSP interview to verify the presence of buffers.

### **Pesticides**

7.	years?				
	$\square Yes \ \square No$ Records must be available for review and verification by NRCS prior to acceptance into CSP.				
8.	Do you use any pesticides that are considered intermediate, high, or extra hazard to human health, due to leaching, based on WIN PST analysis? If yes, do you use:				
	Intermediate; High or Extra High in sensitive areas (check all categories that apply that are within 200 feet of public drinking water well, or 100 feet of private well)?				
	□Yes □No				
	If yes, to <i>Intermediate</i> or <i>High</i> , proceed to next question. If yes to <i>Extra High</i> , you are not eligible to participate in CSP in these fields.				
9.	Do you irrigate the same areas where these pesticides are used?				
	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No If yes, proceed to next question. If no, skip to question #11.				
10.	For areas that you answered yes to both of the above questions, do you follow an Irrigation Water Management plan where you control the amount and timing of your irrigation activities based on rainfall and/or soil moisture levels?				
	□Yes □No				
	If applicable, irrigation records must be available for review and verification by NRCS prior to acceptance into CSP.				
11.	Do you use any pesticides that are considered Intermediate, High, or Extra High hazard to fish, due to surface or adsorbed runoff, based on WIN PST analysis?				
	□Yes □No				
	If no, skip to next question. If yes, do you have at least 35'wide vegetated buffers in place between field edges and streams?				
12.	Do you spray any pesticides with a mister? Yes or No. If no, skip to next question. If yes, are treed buffers in place adjacent to streams to prevent pesticide drift from reaching water courses?				
	□Yes □No				
	NRCS will verify presence of windbreak or buffer through aerial interpretation at time of CSP interview.				
Sedim	ent as a pollutant				
13.	Are gullies present, and if so, stabilized on your cropland and pastureland areas on your farm?				
	□Yes □No				
	NRCS will verify presence or absence of gullies on your farm, and if present, refer to historical photos to determine whether or not they are progressing to assess this question.				

The Natural Resources Conservation Service provides leadership in a partnership effort to help people conserve, maintain, and improve our natural resources and environment.

## 2005 - Conservation Security Program (CSP)

**Highly Leachable Soils in Providence County** 

<sup>\*\*</sup> Providence County (off GIS Soils Database)

Soil Map Unit	Soil Name / Description
Son Mad Onic	Son Name / Describtion

<u>Soil Map Unit</u>	Soil Name / Description
Aa	Aa Adrian muck
AfA	Agawam fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
AfB	Agawam fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes
BhA	Bridgehampton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
BhB	Bridgehampton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes
BmA	Bridgehampton silt loam, till substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes
BmB	Bridgehampton silt loam, till substratum, 3 to 8 percent slopes
BnB	Bridgehampton-Charlton complex, very stony, 0 to 8 percent slopes
BnC	Bridgehampton-Charlton complex, very stony, 8 to 15 percent slopes
BoC	Bridgehampton-Charlton complex, extremely stony, 3 to 15 percent slopes
CaC	Canton-Charlton-Rock outcrop complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes
CaD	Canton-Charlton-Rock outcrop complex, 15 to 35 percent slopes
СВ	Canton-Urban land complex
СС	Canton-Urban land complex, very rocky
CdA	Canton and Charlton fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes
CdB	Canton and Charlton fine sandy loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes
CdC	Canton and Charlton fine sandy loams, 8 to 15 percent slopes
CeC	Canton and Charlton fine sandy loams, very rocky, 3 to 15 percent slopes
ChB	Canton and Charlton very stony fine sandy loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Narragansett extremely stony silt loam, 3
Narragansett very stony silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes
Narragansett very stony silt loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes
Narragansett silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes
Narragansett silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
Merrimac-Urban land complex
Merrimac sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes
Merrimac sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
Hinckley-Enfield complex, rolling
Hinckley gravelly sandy loam, hilly
Hinckley gravelly sandy loam, rolling
Hinckley gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
Gloucester-Hinckley very stony sandy loams, hilly
Gloucester-Hinckley very stony sandy loams, rolling
Gloucester-Bridgehampton complex, hilly
Gloucester-Bridgehampton complex, rolling
Enfield silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes
Enfield silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
Deerfield loamy fine sand
Carlisle muck
Canton and Charlton extremely stony fine sandy loams, 3 to 15 percent slopes
Canton and Charlton very stony fine sandy loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes
Canton and Charlton very stony fine sandy loams, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Nt	Ninigret fine sandy loam
Pg	Pits, quarries
Рр	Podunk fine sandy loam
QoA	Quonset gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
QoC	Quonset gravelly sandy loam, rolling
ScA	Scio silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
SdB	Scio very stony silt loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes
Ss	Sudbury sandy loam
StA	Sutton fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
StB	Sutton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes
SuB	Sutton very stony fine sandy loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes
SvB	Sutton extremely stony fine sandy loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes
Tb	Tisbury silt loam
UAB	Udipsamments
WbA	Wapping silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
WbB	Wapping silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes
WcB	Wapping very stony silt loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes
WdB	Wapping extremely stony silt loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes
WgA	Windsor loamy sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes
WgB	Windsor loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes